

Events

January 5-6, 2015

IIPLA Annual Congress

Dubai, UAE. [Click](#) for details.

February 20-21, 2015

The Harold G. Fox Moot

2014 Canadian Intellectual Property Moot.

[Click](#) for details.

February 23-24, 2015

Global IP Conference

San Francisco, USA.

[Click](#) for details.

March 19-21, 2015

13th Annual Oxford

International IP Law Moot

An Oxford Intellectual Property Research Centre organized competition.

[Click](#) for details.

IP Notes

**Intellectual Property Journal
Call for Submissions**

Submissions are welcomed to be considered for inclusion in the Intellectual Property Journal (IPJ). [Click](#) for details

IP pick of the week

As the end of 2014 draws near, let's take a look at the [Thomson Reuters Top 100 Global Innovators](#) list for this year

The IPIGRAM (11 December 2014)

Feature Posts



[IP Osgoode Speaks Series Video: The U.S. Supreme Court's Aereo decision and the U.S.' international obligation to implement the "making available right" - Are we there yet?](#)

December 10, 2014 by [IP Osgoode](#)

IP Osgoode would like to thank everyone who attended Professor Jane Ginsburg's lecture, titled "The U.S. Supreme Court's Aereo decision and the U.S.' international obligation to implement the 'making available right': Are we there yet?," on October 6, 2014 at Osgoode Hall Law School. The video of the lecture is available [here](#). You can read Professor Ginsburg's blog post related to her lecture [here](#).

[Much Ado about Privacy? How the Alberta Government's Inaction on PIPA Threatened the Act](#)

December 11, 2014 by [Faye Alipour](#)



Over the past year, the status of Alberta's [Personal Information Protection Act](#) (PIPA) was in flux and closely watched by privacy experts and practitioners across Canada. In November 2013,

[Subscribe](#) to our email list

made a welcomed, if not surprising, appearance on the list for the second year in a row. Click [here](#) to read an article by the Globe and Mail discussing Blackberry's recent success.

Workers, [2013 SCC 62](#), rendered PIPA unconstitutional, and declared it invalid. The Court gave Alberta's government twelve months to bring the provincial privacy legislation in line with the Charter.

[Read more](#)

Faye Alipour is a JD Candidate at Osgoode Hall Law School and is enrolled in Osgoode's Intellectual Property Law & Technology Intensive Program. As part of the course requirements, students were asked to write a blog on a topic of their choice.



2+2 Does Not Equal Fair: University Copyright Policies at Risk

December 11, 2014 by [Allison McLean](#)

University fair use policies were dealt a blow in October when the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit reversed the District Court ruling in [Cambridge Press v Georgia State University \(GSU\)](#).

[Read more](#)

Allison McLean is a JD Candidate at Osgoode Hall Law School and is enrolled in Osgoode's Intellectual Property Law and Technology Intensive Program. As part of the program requirements, students were asked to write a blog on a topic of their choice.

Recent Posts

Intellectual Property, Politicians, and the Press: Who's Protecting the Public Good?

December 10, 2014 by [Joseph Turcotte](#)

It's hardly surprising that politicians and members of the press often find themselves at odds with one another, as the two have a [long history](#) of conflicting priorities and mandates. Yet the two entities occupy complementary and at times oppositional roles in serving the public good. The [recent debate surrounding](#) leaked information about possible

copyright reforms brings this tension to the surface. It also raises the question of who is left to serve the public interest when politicians and the Press openly conflict.

[Read more](#)

Joseph F. Turcotte is an IPilogue Editor and a PhD Candidate in the Communication & Culture Program (Politics & Policy) at York University.

Considering the Combating Counterfeit Products Act: Who Should Ease the Burden of an Overburdened System?

December 8, 2014 by [Jason Hayward](#)

[Bill C-8](#) (the Combating Counterfeit Products Act, previously known as [Bill C-56](#)) passed third reading in the House of Commons on October 2, 2014, and is now before the Senate for further review. The Bill proposes a number of legislative changes to the Canadian IP regime. One of its main objectives is to create border security measures by establishing a system empowered with the tools necessary to detain shipments of suspected counterfeit goods before they cross into Canada. Like many pieces of proposed legislation, the Bill has faced scrutiny on [numerous grounds](#). One particular aspect of the Bill that has attracted criticism relates to its detention provisions (Section 51.09), which place the costs of detention on right holders (RHs) – the very individuals whose trademarks have been potentially infringed.

[Read more](#)

Jason Hayward is a JD Candidate at Osgoode Hall Law School and is enrolled in Osgoode's Intellectual Property Law and Technology Intensive Program. As part of the program requirements, students were asked to write a blog on a topic of their choice.



IP Osgoode | Intellectual Property Law & Technology Program
Osgoode Hall Law School | York University
416.650.8449 | iposgoode@osgoode.yorku.ca | www.iposgoode.ca

Share this email:



[Manage](#) your preferences | [Opt out](#) using TrueRemove™

Got this as a forward? [Sign up](#) to receive our future emails.

View this email [online](#).

4700 Keele St.
Toronto, Ontario | M3J 1P3 CA

This email was sent to .
To continue receiving our emails, add us to your address book.

